UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/576,193	04/17/2006	Tsukasa Fujieda	060321	8608
	7590 10/28/201 TOS & HANSON, LL	EXAMINER		
1420 K Street, I 4th Floor		WALTERS JR, ROBERT S		
WASHINGTO!	N, DC 20005	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1711	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/28/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/576,193	FUJIEDA, TSUKASA		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ROBERT S. WALTERS JR	1711		

	ROBERT S. WALTERS JR	1/11	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 October 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection		
b) The period for reply expires <u>5</u> months from the mailing date		in the final rejection which	chever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of chortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be f	filed within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed)	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		(1)	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	·	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.2.5.8-11.14.17 and 18. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: Claim(s)		l be entered and an e:	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☑ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but The applicant's first argue that a substrate should be uncoating. The examiner disagrees with this assertion, if the coating to a substrate in two to five stages, because the were to construe a substrate to be a material not having demonstrates unexpected results commensurate in scorestill not commensurate in scope with the claims. The application that the theorems of the mosetting clear coating. The affadavit could only support the mosetting clear coating which are disclosed in the example. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	derstood to mean a material that do nis was the case then the applicants second and further stages would not a coating. The applicant further are with the claims. The examiner materials be examined by the claim and aqueous luster the poort unexpected results for the speciments.	bes not already have a s themselves could no ot be applied to a sub gues that the newly sub aintains that the new hermosetting base co	l layer of ot lay down a strate, if one upplied affadavit declaration is ating and any
13. Other:			

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

/Michael Barr/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1711 Application No.

/ROBERT S. WALTERS JR/ Examiner, Art Unit 1711

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20101026